

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Application No.:	10/825,622	Confirmation No.	7882
Applicant:	Johnson et al.	Filed:	April 15, 2004
Art Unit:	2169	Examiner:	Johnese T Johnson
Docket No.:	SVL920040562US2	Customer No.:	55070
Title:	System for Managing Multiple Disparate Content Repositories and Workflow Systems		

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response To Examiner's Interview Summary

S I R:

This communication is in response to the Examiner's Interview Summary mailed on April 2, 2007.


The Examiner's Interview Summary indicated that Claims 1-46 were discussed. Applicants respectfully disagree. On March 9, 2007, Applicant's representative faxed an "Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form" with a cover sheet to Examiner Johnson, and the "Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form" indicated Claims 1-46 in the "Issues To Be Discussed" section. Subsequently, in response to Examiner Johnson's request, on March 16, 2007, Applicant's representative faxed another "Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form" with a cover sheet to Examiner Johnson, and the March 16, 2007 "Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form" reduced the

number of claims in the "Issues To Be Discussed" section to Claims 1, 9, 14, 17, 23, 24, 30, 31, 34, 39 and 43. Applicant's representative has attached a copy of each "Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form" and fax cover sheet. In Applicants' Summary of Interview included in the Amendment of March 21, 2007, Applicant's Representative indicated that Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14, 17, 23, 24, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 39 and 43 were discussed.

The Examiner's Interview Summary also indicated that Applicant's Representative presented proposed amendments in light of the teachings of the Prior Art. Applicant's Representative did not fax or email any proposed amendments to the Examiner. During the Interview, Applicant's Representative asked for clarification of the 101 rejection of Claims 1, 14, 24 and 34 and discussed adding recitations of a computer system, processor and a memory to independent Claims 1, 14, 24 and 34. During the Interview, Applicant's Representative requested clarification of the 102 Rejection of Claims 1 and 9 with respect to the Owen reference, the 103 Rejection of Claims 17 and 23 with respect to the Ghoneimy reference, the 103 rejection of Claims 30 and 31 with respect to the Brunner reference, and the 102/103 Rejections of Claims 34, 39 and 43 with respect to the Zintel and Armstrong reference. Therefore, Applicants believe that they did not present proposed amendments to the claims in light of the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

May 2, 2007



Janet M. Skafar, Attorney
Reg. No. 41,315
Correspondence Customer No. 55070
Telephone: (650)988-0655
Facsimile: (408) 463-4827

Attachments

FAX COVER SHEET

Date: March 9, 2007

To: Examiner Johnese T Johnson

From: Janet M. Skafar (phone: 650-988-0655)

Sent to fax: 571-270-2097

Total number of pages, including cover sheet: 2 pages

RE: Application No.: 10/825,622 (SVL920040562US2),

Examiner Johnson,

I would like to request an Examiner Interview for App. No. 10/825,622. I have attached an Applicant Initiated Interview Request form.

Thank you,
Janet M. Skafar
Reg. No. 41,315

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/825,622 First Named Applicant: Sean Allen Johnson
Examiner: Johnese T Johnson Art Unit: 2169 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Janet M Skafar (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____

Because I am in California, we have a 3 hour time difference, therefore I would like to request a time (AM/PM) on or after 12 noon Eastern time, which would after 9am Pacific time

Proposed Date of Interview: March 19, 2007

Proposed Time: request a time (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 101 Rej.	1-46	Owen, Johnson (2002/0152210)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) 102/103 Rej	1-46	Ghoneimy Zintel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	Michaelides Brunner	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	Evans Mobley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached		Armstrong			

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature _____

Examiner/SPE Signature _____

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative _____

Registration Number, if applicable _____

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.111 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

FAX COVER SHEET

Date: March 16, 2007

To: Examiner Johnese T Johnson

From: Janet M. Skafar (phone: 650-988-0655)

Sent to fax: 571-270-2097

Total number of pages, including cover sheet: 2 pages

RE: Application No.: 10/825,622 (SVL920040562US2),

Examiner Johnson,

Thank you for granting an Examiner Interview for App. No. 10/825,622. In response to your request, I have revised the Applicant Initiated Interview Request form.

Thank you,
Janet M. Skafar
Reg. No. 41,315

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/825,622 First Named Applicant: Sean Allen Johnson
Examiner: Johnese T Johnson Art Unit: 2169 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Janet M Skafar (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: March 19, 2007 Proposed Time: 3:00 pm
Eastern time (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>101 Rej.</u>	<u>1, 14, 24, 34</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>102 Rej</u>	<u>1, 9</u>	<u>Owen</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>103 Rej</u>	<u>17, 23</u>	<u>Ghoneimy</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) <u>103 Rej</u>	<u>30, 31</u>	<u>Brunner</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>[] Continuation Sheet Attached</u>					
(5) <u>102/103 Rej</u>	<u>34, 39, 43</u>	<u>Zintel, Armstrong</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.